

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 2005
SIX MONTH UPDATE (APRIL 2005- SEPTEMBER 2005)

Data Prepared by
Performance Excellence Administration
Michigan Department of Human Services

August 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM.....	4
TABLE 1 NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS.....	4
TABLE 2 REFERRAL SOURCE	5
PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS	6
TABLE 3 RACE/ETHNICITY	6
TABLE 4 GENDER.....	7
TABLE 5 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS	8
TABLE 6 AGE BY GENDER.....	9
TABLE 7 MARITAL STATUS.....	10
PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION.....	11
TABLE 8 PREGANCY/PARENTING STATUS	11
TABLE 8A PRENATAL CARE.....	11
TABLE 8B OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN.....	12
TABLE 8C OF THOSE PREGNANT & PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN.....	13
PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS	14
TABLE 9 EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE	16
TABLE 10 EMPLOYEMNT STATUS.....	17
PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT.....	18
TABLE 11 LIVING ARRANGEMENT.....	19
TABLE 12 AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	20

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the second six-month update for FY 04-05 (i.e., April 2005 through September 2005) and is comprised of fifteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- During this six-month period, 493 new participants entered the program, with 15.1% of the participants being referred to the program by their local DHS offices.
- The race/ethnicity breakdown was as follows:
 - 61.4% African American
 - 28.7% White
 - 5.5% Hispanic
 - 3.7% Other (e.g., multi-racial)
 - 0.6% Native American
 - 0.2% Asian
- Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. A number of sites have exercised this option, with males comprising 13.4% of the recently enrolled participants.
- The average age of this group of participants was 18.10 years.
- 96.9% of the participants were single.
- 53.1% of the participants were pregnant (or pregnant **and** parenting) upon entering the program, with 97.3% of those participating in prenatal care at that time.
- 55.0% of the teens were parenting (or pregnant **and** parenting), with 82.3% of them parenting one child, 16.1% parenting two children, 1.2% parenting three children, and 0.4% parenting four children.
- On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 10.2.
- At the time of entering the program (note, duplicate responses were possible: e.g., a person could be identified as being in GED training and school simultaneously),
 - 44.7% of the participants were enrolled in school.
 - 4.0% of the participants were enrolled in GED training.
 - 1.7% of the participants were GED holders.
 - 17.3% of the participants were high school graduates.
- 14.6% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 27.7 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$6.54.
- 31.4% of the participants were not involved in education **or** employment activities at the time they entered the program.

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

Fiscal Year 2005

Six Month Update

April 2005 - September 2005

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the second six-month update for FY 04-05. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2005, namely, April 2005 through September 2005.

The program continues to operate via contract with twenty-one sites (21) in eighteen (18) counties. The specific counties served by the program are Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo, Kent, Lake, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Oakland, Ogemaw, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites.

This is the final update report for the contract period. A new contract, with twelve new providers and two additional counties, began October 1, 2005.

PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

Table 1 presents the total number of participants who entered the teen parent program between April 1, 2005, and September 30, 2005. During this six-month period, 493 new participants entered the program.

Table 1
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL ¹	FY04 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Number of Participants Entering the Program During the Month	108	84	99	72	86	44	493	1,088	1,238

¹ In addition to these 1,088 new cases entering the program during fiscal year 2005, there were 1,046 active carry-over/ongoing cases that were receiving services at the start of the fiscal year (i.e., cases that opened prior to October 1, 2004, and remained open as of the start of FY04-05). Source: Teen Parent Program Semi-Annual Monitoring Report (October 2004).

Table 2 identifies the sources responsible for referring the participants to the program. Referrals received from the Department of Human Services (DHS) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 15.1% (74) of the referrals during this six month period were from DHS. This was surpassed by referrals from: (a) some “other” source (see footnote, below, for details regarding “other” referral sources), which accounted for 37.9% (186) of the referrals, and (b) community agencies, which accounted for 17.7% (87) of the referrals. The remaining 29.3% (144) of the individuals were referred to the program by such sources as health care provider, public/community health, mental health, and schools.

Table 2
REFERRAL SOURCE

REFERRAL SOURCE	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL	FY04 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
DHS	15	16	11	13	12	7	74 (15.1%)	161 (14.8%)	163 (13.2%)
Health Care Provider	10	10	11	10	11	4	56 (11.4%)	90 (8.3%)	93 (7.5%)
Public/Community Health	14	6	10	8	8	1	47 (9.6%)	122 (11.2%)	153 (12.4%)
Community Agency	23	10	11	12	21	10	87 (17.7%)	167 (15.4%)	243 (19.7%)
Mental Health	3	0	0	0	0	0	3 (0.6%)	4 (0.4%)	1 (0.1%)
School	9	10	5	0	7	7	38 (7.7%)	127 (11.7%)	123 (10.0%)
Other ²	34	32	49	29	27	15	186 (37.9%)	414 (38.2%)	459 (37.2%)
TOTALS	108	84	97	72	86	44	491 (100.0%)	1,085 (100.0%)	1,235 (100.0%) ³
Missing ⁴	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	3	3

² "Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, partner, another program participant, was a former program participant, TPP agency, court system (e.g., juvenile court, family court, probation officer), "Healthy Families", "United Life Styles", "Job Corps", maternal infant outreach program, MSU Extension, community baby shower, Even Start coordinator, HUD outreach, Work First, Families First, Family Resource Center, Youth Assistance, foster care, shelter, church, yellow pages/phonebook, brochure, website, etc.

³ In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

⁴ Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

Table 3 presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of participants entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2005. Accordingly, 61.4% (302) of the individuals were African American, 28.7% (141) were white, 5.5% (27) were Hispanic, 0.6% (3) were Native American, and 0.2% (1) was Asian. The “other” responses served to identify eighteen individuals (3.7%) as multi-racial.

Table 3
RACE/ETHNICITY

RACE/ETHNICITY	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL	FY04 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
White	29	22	26	20	31	13	141 (28.7%)	298 (27.6%)	351 (28.5%)
African American	70	53	62	42	47	28	302 (61.4%)	681 (63.0%)	785 (63.8%)
Native American	0	0	1	1	1	0	3 (0.6%)	4 (0.4%)	7 (0.6%)
Hispanic	4	5	8	4	4	2	27 (5.5%)	69 (6.4%)	66 (5.4%)
Asian	0	1	0	0	0	0	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.1%)	0
Other	5	3	1	5	3	1	18 (3.7%)	28 (2.6%)	21 (1.7%)
TOTALS	108	84	98	72	86	44	492 (100.0%)	1,081 (100.0%)	1,230 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	7	8

Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. **Table 4** presents the gender breakdown of participants entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2005. Accordingly, 86.6% (427) of the individuals were female, and 13.4% (66) were male.

Table 4
GENDER

	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL	FY04 TOTAL
GENDER	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Female	94	75	75	65	79	39	427 (86.6%)	965 (88.7%)	1,143 (92.3%)
Male	14	6	24	7	7	5	66 (13.4%)	123 (11.3%)	95 (7.7%)
TOTALS	108	87	99	72	86	44	493 (100.0%)	1,088 (100.0%)	1,238 (100.0%)

Table 5 displays the age distribution of participants entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2005, with the overall average age being 18.10 years. For those participants entering the program during the months of April, May and June 2005, age was calculated as of June 30, 2005, with the average age being 17.99 years. Meanwhile, for those who entered during the months of July, August and September 2005, age was calculated as of September 30, 2005, with the average age being 18.25 years.

Table 5
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL	FY04 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Twelve	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.1%)	0
Thirteen	0	0	1	0	0	0	1 (0.2%)	7 (0.7%)	5 (0.4%)
Fourteen	3	3	4	2	2	2	16 (3.4%)	28 (2.7%)	28 (2.3%)
Fifteen	9	9	7	3	6	3	37 (8.0%)	88 (8.5%)	97 (7.7%)
Sixteen	17	11	16	14	14	4	76 (16.4%)	172 (16.5%)	171 (14.1%)
Seventeen	21	20	20	10	15	7	93 (20.0%)	210 (20.2%)	263 (21.7%)
Eighteen	24	20	14	17	21	9	105 (22.6%)	211 (20.3%)	263 (21.7%)
Nineteen	10	7	11	8	17	7	60 (12.9%)	161 (15.5%)	217 (17.9%)
Twenty	14	7	13	12	7	7	60 (12.9%)	125 (12.0%)	128 (10.6%)
Twenty-one and older	1	3	3	4	3	2	16 (3.4%)	37 (3.6%)	35 (2.9%)
TOTALS	99	80	89	70	85	41	464 (100.0%)	1,040 (100.0%)	1,213 (100.0%)
Missing	9	4	10	2	1	3	29	48	25

Table 6 displays the breakdown of age by gender. The average female participant was 17.94 years old, and the average male participant was 19.29 years old.

Table 6
AGE BY GENDER⁵

AGE BY GENDER	LATTER SIX MONTHS - FISCAL YEAR 05				FY05 % Total (N)	FY04 % Total (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)		
Female	94.6	90.3	83.8	88.1 (409)	80.3 (939)	92.3 (1,120)
Male	5.4	9.7	16.2	11.9 (55)	9.7 (101)	7.7 (93)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (130)	100.0 (93)	100.0 (241)	100.0 (464)	100.0 (1,040)	100.0 (1,213)

⁵For the latter six months of FY05, there were twenty-nine cases for which information about age was missing, bringing the year-to-date total of such cases to forty-eight. Meanwhile, for FY04, there were twenty-five cases for which information about age was missing.

Table 7 displays the marital status of the participants. Accordingly, 96.9% (473) were single and 2.5% (12) were married. Of the twelve individuals who were married, eight were white, two were African American and one was Hispanic. In terms of age, all twelve individuals were eighteen years old or older. With respect to gender, nine were female and three were male. Note: the “other” category served to identify three individuals who were separated from their spouse.

Table 7
MARITAL STATUS

MARITAL STATUS	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL	FY04 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Single	103	81	93	70	83	43	473 (96.9%)	1,045 (97.1%)	1,187 (96.5%)
Married	4	3	2	1	1	1	12 (2.5%)	28 (2.6%)	40 (3.3%)
Other	1	0	0	1	1	0	3 (0.6%)	3 (0.3%)	3 (0.2%)
TOTALS	108	84	95	72	85	44	488 (100.0%)	1,076 (100.0%)	1,230 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	4	0	1	0	5	12	8

PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

Table 8 reveals the number of participants who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant and parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 45.0% (222) were pregnant, 46.9% (231) were parenting, and 8.1% (40) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

Table 8
PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS

PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL	FY04 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Pregnant	47	42	41	38	35	19	222 (45.0%)	507 (46.9%)	530 (42.8%)
Parenting	47	40	53	29	42	20	231 (46.9%)	495 (45.7%)	605 (48.9%)
Pregnant and Parenting	14	2	5	5	9	5	40 (8.1%)	80 (7.4%)	102 (8.2%)
TOTALS	108	84	99	72	86	44	493 (100.0%)	1,082 (100.0%)	1,137 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 97.3% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in **Table 8A** below:

Table 8A
PRENATAL CARE

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL	FY04 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Yes	59	42	43	42	43	24	253 (97.3%)	548 (94.2%)	585 (94.1%)
No	2	2	1	1	1	0	7 (2.7%)	34 (5.8%)	37 (5.9%)
TOTALS	61	44	44	43	44	24	260 (100.0%)	582 (100.0%)	622 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	5	10

In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further described in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 8B and 8C. With respect to ages of the children, 80.3% (244) were one year or younger, 11.5% (35) were two years old, 5.6% (17) were three years old, 1.3% (4) were four years old, and 1.3% (4) were five years old or older.

According to **Table 8B**, 82.5% (179) of those parenting had one child, 16.6% (36) had two children, 0.5% (1) had three children, and 0.5% (1) had four children.

Table 8B
OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL	FY04 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
One	37	29	38	22	37	16	179 (82.5%)	400 (84.7%)	516 (85.4%)
Two	8	10	7	6	1	4	36 (16.6%)	67 (14.2%)	78 (12.9%)
Three	0	0	0	0	1	0	1 (0.5%)	4 (0.8%)	9 (1.5%)
Four	0	0	1	0	0	0	1 (0.5%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.3%)
TOTALS	45	39	46	28	39	20	217 (100.0%)	472 (100.0%)	604 (100.0%)
Missing	2	1	7	1	3	0	14	23	1

Similarly, **Table 8C** reveals that 81.1% (30) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, 13.5% (5) had two children, and 5.4% (2) had three children.

Table 8C
OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL	FY04 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
One	9	0	5	4	8	4	30 (81.1%)	63 (81.8%)	89 (88.1%)
Two	1	2	0	1	1	0	5 (13.5%)	11 (14.3%)	10 (9.9%)
Three	1	0	0	0	0	1	2 (5.4%)	3 (3.9%)	2 (2.0%)
TOTALS	11	2	5	5	9	5	37 (100.0%)	77 (100.0%)	101 (100.0%)
Missing	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	1

PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Tables 9 and 10 reveal the participants' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by the participants upon entering the program was 10.2.

A. School

The 212 individuals (44.7%) enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Ten individuals were enrolled in both school and GED training.
- Fourteen individuals had a high school diploma.
- One individual had a GED.
- Twenty-two teens were working and going to school.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 9.9.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 17.27 years, with 44.9% being sixteen years old or younger, 23.9% being seventeen years old, and 31.2 being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 92.9% (197) of those enrolled were females, representing 47.2% of females in the program. Meanwhile, 7.1% (15) of those enrolled were males, representing 26.3% of males in the program.

The 262 individuals (55.3%) who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Sixty-eight teens had a high school diploma.
- Seven participants had a GED certificate.
- Nine individuals were in GED training.
- Forty-six teens were employed.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.4.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 18.78 years, with 14.8% being sixteen years old or younger, 16.8% being seventeen years old, and 68.4% being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 84.0% (220) of those not enrolled were females, representing 52.8% of females in the program. Meanwhile, 16.0% (42) of those not enrolled were males, representing 73.7% of males in the program.

Eighteen of the nineteen participants, for whom information about school enrollment was missing, were similarly missing responses to the remaining questions regarding education and employment. One individual, while missing information on school enrollment and educational activities, was employed at intake.

B. GED Training

Of the nineteen individuals in GED training, ten were also in school and two were working. In terms of age, 38.9% were seventeen years old and 61.1% were eighteen years old or older.

C. GED Certificate

Eight individuals were identified as having a GED certificate, three of who were working, one of who was continuing their education, and two of whom also had their high school diploma.

D. High School Diploma

The eighty-two individuals who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- Fourteen teens were continuing their education.
- Twenty-three teens were working.
- Two teens also had their GED.

The 392 individuals who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- 198 teens were enrolled in school.
- Nineteen teens were in GED training (including ten who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Six teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate.
- Forty-five individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

For 155 individuals, or 31.4% of those who entered the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2005, negative responses were received for each question regarding education **and** employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school nor GED training, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed. In terms of age, 23.0% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 20.3% were seventeen years old, and 56.8% were eighteen years old or older.

Table 9
EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE

PARTICIPANT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL	FY04 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
A. Was the participant in school at intake?									
Yes	51	37	35	27	42	20	212 (44.7%)	530 (51.0%)	577 (48.3%)
No	55	46	51	45	42	23	262 (55.3%)	509 (49.0%)	618 (51.7%)
TOTALS (Missing)	106 (2)	83 (1)	86 (13)	72	84 (2)	43 (1)	474 (19) (100.0%)	1,039 (49) (100.0%)	1,195 (43) (100.0%)
B. Was the participant in GED training?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	05 Total	04 Total
Yes	9	5	1	1	3	0	19 (4.0%)	55 (5.3%)	75 (6.3%)
No	97	78	85	71	81	43	455 (96.0%)	984 (94.7%)	1,122 (93.7%)
TOTALS (Missing)	106 (2)	83 (1)	86 (13)	72	84 (2)	43 (1)	474 (19) (100.0%)	1,039 (49) (100.0%)	1,197 (41) (100.0%)
C. Did the participant have a GED?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	05 Total	04 Total
Yes	0	0	0	2	4	2	8 (1.7%)	13 (1.3%)	30 (2.5%)
No	106	83	86	70	80	41	466 (98.3%)	1,025 (98.7%)	1,169 (97.5%)
TOTALS (Missing)	106 (2)	83 (1)	86 (3)	72	84 (2)	43 (1)	474 (19) (100.0%)	1,038 (50) (100.0%)	1,199 (39) (100.0%)
D. Did the participant have a hs diploma?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	05 Total	04 Total
Yes	14	11	20	14	15	8	82 (17.3%)	155 (14.9%)	171 (14.3%)
No	92	72	66	58	69	35	392 (82.7%)	883 (85.1%)	1,028 (85.7%)
TOTALS (Missing)	106 (2)	83 (1)	86 (13)	72	84 (2)	43 (1)	474 (19) (100.0%)	1,038 (50) (100.0%)	1,199 (39) (100.0%)

Table 10 indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 14.6% (69) had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 85.4% (405) of the individuals were unemployed.

Table 10
EMPLOYMENT STATUS

WAS THE PARTICIPANT WORKING AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL	FY04 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Yes	10	16	16	11	11	5	69 (14.6%)	144 (13.9%)	178 (14.9%)
No	95	67	71	61	73	38	405 (85.4%)	889 (86.1%)	1,016 (85.1%)
TOTALS	105	83	87	72	84	43	474 (100.0%)	1,033 (100.0%)	1,194 (100.0%)
Missing	3	1	12	0	2	1	19	55	44

For the sixty-nine teens employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 27.7 and the average hourly wage was \$6.54. In addition, the average age of those employed was 18.95 years. Furthermore,

- Fifty-six (81.2%) of those employed were females, representing 13.4% of the females entering the program during this six month period. Meanwhile, thirteen (18.8%) of those employed were male, representing 22.8% of the males entering the program.
- Twenty-three individuals had a high school diploma (four of who were also continuing their education).
- Three teens had a GED certificate (one of who was also continuing their education).
- Two teens were in GED training, including one who was also enrolled in school.
- Twenty-two individuals were enrolled in school (four of who had a diploma, one of who had a GED, and one of who was also in GED training).
- Twenty-five teens were working, but were not in school or GED training, nor did they have a diploma or GED.
- One individual who was employed was missing other information about educational activities.

The 405 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- Of the teens not working, 190 were enrolled in school (including eight who were also in GED training, and ten who had a high school diploma).
- Seventeen teens were in GED training (eight of who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Fifty-eight individuals had a high school diploma (two of who also had their GED and ten of who were also continuing their education).
- Five teens had a GED certificate, including two who also had their high school diploma.

PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

Table 11, on the following page, presents the participants' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 49.6% of the individuals who entered the program during the latter six months of FY05 resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 13.8% living with other relative(s), and 8.8% living with their partner. The remaining 27.9% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

Table 12, on page 20, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 76.0% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 60.4% of those aged seventeen and 32.9% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents.

- All totaled, 94.6% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement. Similarly, 80.2% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement.
- In Table 11 and Table 12, "other" responses given included the following: living with friend(s), living with parents and grandparents, living with Mom and siblings in Mother's friend's home, living with the parents of the father of the baby (FOB) without FOB, living with stepfather, living with sister's father, living in transitional living program, Job Corps, PATH, transient, etc.

Table 11
LIVING ARRANGEMENT

WHAT WAS THE PARTICIPANT'S LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY05 TOTAL	FY04 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
w/Parents	56	47	50	34	40	11	238 (49.6%)	562 (53.0%)	630 (51.8%)
w/Guardian	5	2	4	3	1	1	16 (3.3%)	34 (3.2%)	43 (3.5%)
w/Other relative	15	12	7	10	12	10	66 (13.8%)	131 (12.4%)	155 (12.7%)
w/Partner	7	3	8	8	11	5	42 (8.8%)	78 (7.4%)	57 (4.7%)
w/Spouse	3	2	1	1	1	0	8 (1.7%)	13 (1.2%)	22 (1.8%)
Formal placement	4	1	0	3	2	1	11 (2.3%)	24 (2.3%)	43 (3.5%)
Independently	9	9	5	4	5	5	37 (7.7%)	84 (7.9%)	123 (10.1%)
Homeless	1	0	2	1	2	2	8 (1.7%)	18 (1.7%)	33 (2.7%)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	4	5	4	7	9	3	32 (6.7%)	66 (6.2%)	63 (5.2%)
Other	4	3	6	1	3	5	22 (4.6%)	50 (4.7%)	47 (3.9%)
TOTALS	108	84	87	72	86	43	480 (100.0%)	1060 (100.0%)	1,216 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	12	0	0	1	13	28	22

Table 12
AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT⁶

AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	APRIL 2005 – SEPTEMBER 2005				FY05 TOTAL % (N)	FY04 TOTAL % (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Total % (N)		
w/Parents	76.0	60.4	32.9	50.5 (231)	53.9 (550)	51.7 (619)
w/Guardian	4.7	4.4	2.5	3.5 (16)	3.3 (34)	3.6 (43)
w/Other relative	10.9	12.1	15.2	13.3 (61)	12.2 (125)	12.7 (152)
w/Partner	1.6	3.3	15.2	9.0 (41)	7.1 (73)	4.8 (57)
w/Spouse	0.0	0.0	3.0	1.5 (7)	1.2 (12)	1.7 (20)
Formal placement	3.1	3.3	1.7	2.4 (11)	2.4 (24)	3.6 (43)
Independently	0.8	3.3	12.7	7.4 (34)	7.9 (81)	10.0 (119)
Homeless	0.8	0.0	3.0	1.8 (8)	1.8 (18)	2.8 (33)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	1.6	7.7	8.0	6.1 (28)	6.1 (62)	5.1 (61)
Other	0.8	5.5	5.9	4.4 (20)	4.1 (42)	3.9 (47)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (129)	100.0 (91)	100.0 (237)	100.0 (457)	100.0 (1,021)	100.0 (1,194)

⁶ For the latter six months of fiscal year 2004-2005, there were thirty-six individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown, bringing the year-to-date total of such cases to sixty-seven. NOTE: For FY 03-04, there were forty-four individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.